Michelle Anderson - October 12, 2017

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS			
3	MARSHALL DIVISION			
4	IRONSHORE EUROPE DAC, §			
	S			
5	Plaintiff, §			
	S			
6	V. § NO. 2:17-cv-431			
	§			
7	SCHIFF HARDIN LLP, §			
	S			
8	Defendant. §			
9				
10				
11	ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF			
12	MICHELLE ANDERSON			
13	OCTOBER 12, 2017			
14				
15	ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of			
16	MICHELLE ANDERSON, produced as a witness at the			
17	instance of the Defendant, and duly sworn, was taken			
18	in the above-styled and numbered cause on the 12th of			
19	October, 2017, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:05 p.m., before			
20	Jennifer Quick Davenport, CSR in and for the State of			
21	Texas, reported by machine shorthand, at the offices			
22	of Parsons McEntire McCleary & Clark PLLC,			
23	1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 4400, in the City of			
24	Dallas, County of Dallas, State of Texas, pursuant to			
25	Notice and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.			

57 (Pages 204 to 207)

Michelle Anderson - October 12, 2017

204 206 can look at most pleadings and motions and orders in a and he confirmed that it wouldn't prejudice their 2 2 preparations in any way or at all or -- I'm -- I'm not 3 3 A. I -- I sit in London. I have defense counsel sure what his words were --4 4 that I rely on --O. So you wrote a --5 O. You have --5 MR. McENTIRE: Please let her finish. 6 A. -- to give me this information. I -- I 6 She was not finished. 7 7 have --A. -- when, in fact, before he told me that the 8 O. You just don't know --8 trial date wouldn't prejudice their preparations at MR. McENTIRE: Please let her finish the 9 all. I think now I understand an order had been 10 10 answer, George. passed or a motion had been filed where Jonathan had 11 MR. KRYDER: Okay. 11 actually said that the trial date, if the brain injury 12 12 A. I have 500-plus cases. I don't look at every came in, would severely prejudice his trial 13 minute detail --13 preparation, and he would need at least another six 14 14 months or... Q. (By Mr. Kryder) Okay. 15 15 A. -- which is why I expect the five important Q. (By Mr. Kryder) You never saw that document 16 at the time, correct? 16 areas to be given to me. Q. Okay. And you -- you never -- one of the A. Correct. 17 17 18 five important areas was not asking Mr. Judge or 18 Q. And this is something that someone has told anyone at Schiff to provide you with pleadings, 19 vou after this lawsuit was filed, correct? 20 motions or orders in the case, correct? 20 A. Yes. All this information is -- well, not 21 A. Correct. 21 after the lawsuit was filed. All this information has 22 Q. All right. And so you say that you weren't 22 come out after the verdict. 23 aware of what the court's ruling was on that 23 Q. After the verdict? A. Yes. 24 particular matter, but you hadn't asked him for those 24 25 particular rulings, correct? Q. Okay. And this is information that 205 207 1 A. No. I would tend not to check up on defense 1 Mr. Parsons provided to you and Mr. McEntire provided 2 2 counsel with everything. I expect them to tell me. to vou --3 3 MR. McENTIRE: Don't answer that Q. Okay. Tell me, then, anything else that you 4 4 say is a supposed oral or written misrepresentation by question. 5 5 Mr. Judge or anyone at the Schiff firm. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) -- correct? 6 THE WITNESS: I wasn't going to. 6 A. I wasn't aware that punitive damages were a 7 7 MR. McENTIRE: That question's asked in big part of the case. The last I heard was that 8 8 bad faith. Jonathan was filing a motion for summary judgment to 9 9 have them dismissed, and then I think in one of the MR. KRYDER: No, it's not bad faith. 10 summaries following that he referred to them in past 10 MR. PARSONS: Of course it is. 11 tense. I was never told that a court order had been 11 MR. McENTIRE: You can't ask -- you can't 12 passed. 12 ask a question about conferences with counsel. 13 13 Q. And, again, just as with your previous answer MR. KRYDER: I'm not asking for the 14 14 about the brain injury case, you did not inquire of substance. I'm trying to find out if this is 15 15 Mr. Judge or anyone at Schiff about whether there had something that is a prelude to this lawsuit. 16 16 A. All this information came out after the been a ruling on the Motion for Summary Judgment 17 17 verdict. concerning punitive damages? 18 A. No. As -- as my last answer stated, I would 18 Q. (By Mr. Kryder) All right. Now, you said 19 19 that you asked Mr. Judge if this punitive damages have expected to be told something that significant 20 20 issue might prejudice -- I'm sorry, the issue about from counsel. 21 21 the trial date might prejudice the case? Q. All right. Is there any other purported oral 22 22 A. Yes. or written misrepresentation by Schiff that Ironshore 23 is complaining about? 23 Q. So we can expect to find an email to 24 A. I -- I believe that I asked Jonathan Judge if 24 Mr. Judge in which you ask whether the potential change in the trial date would prejudice the case, 25 the trial date would prejudice our position at all,

62 (Pages 224 to 227)

Michelle Anderson - October 12, 2017

	224		226
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Q. All right. So you recall receiving the news that Dorel were going to ask the judge to delay the punitive damage proceedings so that they could interact with Ironshore? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then you understood that the court refused to delay the punitive damages aspect and it was going to go forward? A. Yes. (Exhibit No. 33 marked.) Q. (By Mr. Kryder) I'm going to show you next, Ms. Anderson, what has been marked for identification as Exhibit 33 and ask if you recall this string of communications about the adverse jury verdict? A. Yes. Q. So it appears, from the second page of Exhibit 33, that Mark Hill first heard about the adverse verdict from Mary Faith Green? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And why is it that Mr. Hill is hearing about this adverse verdict from an outside broker instead of hearing from you? A. Because I I think this was only 10 minutes after I'd heard, and it was 9 o'clock in the evening my time, so I may not have checked my phone	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	insurance policy did not permit Ironshore to force Dorel to agree to any particular settlement amount, correct? A. That's true. There's no hammer clause in the policy, but there's no consent clause either Q. Okay. A so we could have settled. Q. All right. And you're saying that even over Dorel's objection, that Ironshore could have reached a settlement directly with the plaintiff in the case? A. If we had known the true true value of the matter, it could have been a decision Ironshore took, yes. Q. But you can't say that Ironshore actually would have made the decision to attempt to settle the case, correct? A. That would have been above my authority. Q. Okay. And you can't say whether Ironshore, in fact, would have been able to achieve a settlement for any particular amount with the Hinsons, correct? A. Well, after the verdict, I was informed by Jonathan Judge that there was a 3.25 million offer made, so it would appear the plaintiffs wanted to settle. MR. KRYDER: Okay. Well, objection;
	my time, so 1 may not have checked my phone	25	MR. KRYDER: Okay. Well, objection;
1	225		227
1 2	straightaway.	1 2	nonresponsive.
1 2 3	straightaway. Q. Okay. So Mr. Hill receives the news of the	2	nonresponsive. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) You don't have any personal
2	straightaway.		nonresponsive.
2 3	straightaway. Q. Okay. So Mr. Hill receives the news of the adverse verdict	3	nonresponsive. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) You don't have any personal information that the plaintiffs would have settled for
2 3 4 5 6	straightaway. Q. Okay. So Mr. Hill receives the news of the adverse verdict A. Yes. Q and says WTF, right? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	nonresponsive. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) You don't have any personal information that the plaintiffs would have settled for any amount below \$6.75 million, do you? MR. McENTIRE: Objection; form. A. Well, I
2 3 4 5 6 7	straightaway. Q. Okay. So Mr. Hill receives the news of the adverse verdict A. Yes. Q and says WTF, right? A. Yes. Q. You respond to Mr. Hill on the first page of	2 3 4 5 6 7	nonresponsive. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) You don't have any personal information that the plaintiffs would have settled for any amount below \$6.75 million, do you? MR. McENTIRE: Objection; form. A. Well, I MR. McENTIRE: Asked and answered.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	straightaway. Q. Okay. So Mr. Hill receives the news of the adverse verdict A. Yes. Q and says WTF, right? A. Yes. Q. You respond to Mr. Hill on the first page of Exhibit 33, they could have settled this below the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	nonresponsive. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) You don't have any personal information that the plaintiffs would have settled for any amount below \$6.75 million, do you? MR. McENTIRE: Objection; form. A. Well, I MR. McENTIRE: Asked and answered. A. I was on the call when Jonathan told us about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	straightaway. Q. Okay. So Mr. Hill receives the news of the adverse verdict A. Yes. Q and says WTF, right? A. Yes. Q. You respond to Mr. Hill on the first page of Exhibit 33, they could have settled this below the self-insured retention.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	nonresponsive. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) You don't have any personal information that the plaintiffs would have settled for any amount below \$6.75 million, do you? MR. McENTIRE: Objection; form. A. Well, I MR. McENTIRE: Asked and answered. A. I was on the call when Jonathan told us about the 3 million.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	straightaway. Q. Okay. So Mr. Hill receives the news of the adverse verdict A. Yes. Q and says WTF, right? A. Yes. Q. You respond to Mr. Hill on the first page of Exhibit 33, they could have settled this below the self-insured retention. Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	nonresponsive. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) You don't have any personal information that the plaintiffs would have settled for any amount below \$6.75 million, do you? MR. McENTIRE: Objection; form. A. Well, I MR. McENTIRE: Asked and answered. A. I was on the call when Jonathan told us about the 3 million. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) And we've already talked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	straightaway. Q. Okay. So Mr. Hill receives the news of the adverse verdict A. Yes. Q and says WTF, right? A. Yes. Q. You respond to Mr. Hill on the first page of Exhibit 33, they could have settled this below the self-insured retention. Do you see that? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	nonresponsive. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) You don't have any personal information that the plaintiffs would have settled for any amount below \$6.75 million, do you? MR. McENTIRE: Objection; form. A. Well, I MR. McENTIRE: Asked and answered. A. I was on the call when Jonathan told us about the 3 million. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) And we've already talked about that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	straightaway. Q. Okay. So Mr. Hill receives the news of the adverse verdict A. Yes. Q and says WTF, right? A. Yes. Q. You respond to Mr. Hill on the first page of Exhibit 33, they could have settled this below the self-insured retention. Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	nonresponsive. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) You don't have any personal information that the plaintiffs would have settled for any amount below \$6.75 million, do you? MR. McENTIRE: Objection; form. A. Well, I MR. McENTIRE: Asked and answered. A. I was on the call when Jonathan told us about the 3 million. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) And we've already talked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	straightaway. Q. Okay. So Mr. Hill receives the news of the adverse verdict A. Yes. Q and says WTF, right? A. Yes. Q. You respond to Mr. Hill on the first page of Exhibit 33, they could have settled this below the self-insured retention. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What information did you have on June the 17th, 2016, that Dorel wanted to settle the case below the self-insured retention?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	nonresponsive. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) You don't have any personal information that the plaintiffs would have settled for any amount below \$6.75 million, do you? MR. McENTIRE: Objection; form. A. Well, I MR. McENTIRE: Asked and answered. A. I was on the call when Jonathan told us about the 3 million. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) And we've already talked about that. I'm talking about from you understand that do you have any information from the plaintiffs themselves that they would have accepted a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	straightaway. Q. Okay. So Mr. Hill receives the news of the adverse verdict A. Yes. Q and says WTF, right? A. Yes. Q. You respond to Mr. Hill on the first page of Exhibit 33, they could have settled this below the self-insured retention. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What information did you have on June the 17th, 2016, that Dorel wanted to settle the case below the self-insured retention? A. None. This is referring back to the previous	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	nonresponsive. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) You don't have any personal information that the plaintiffs would have settled for any amount below \$6.75 million, do you? MR. McENTIRE: Objection; form. A. Well, I MR. McENTIRE: Asked and answered. A. I was on the call when Jonathan told us about the 3 million. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) And we've already talked about that. I'm talking about from you understand that do you have any information from the plaintiffs themselves that they would have accepted a dollar amount in settlement below 6.75 million?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	straightaway. Q. Okay. So Mr. Hill receives the news of the adverse verdict A. Yes. Q and says WTF, right? A. Yes. Q. You respond to Mr. Hill on the first page of Exhibit 33, they could have settled this below the self-insured retention. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What information did you have on June the 17th, 2016, that Dorel wanted to settle the case below the self-insured retention? A. None. This is referring back to the previous offer made at the mediation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	nonresponsive. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) You don't have any personal information that the plaintiffs would have settled for any amount below \$6.75 million, do you? MR. McENTIRE: Objection; form. A. Well, I MR. McENTIRE: Asked and answered. A. I was on the call when Jonathan told us about the 3 million. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) And we've already talked about that. I'm talking about from you understand that do you have any information from the plaintiffs themselves that they would have accepted a dollar amount in settlement below 6.75 million? A. I never liaised directly with the plaintiffs.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	straightaway. Q. Okay. So Mr. Hill receives the news of the adverse verdict A. Yes. Q and says WTF, right? A. Yes. Q. You respond to Mr. Hill on the first page of Exhibit 33, they could have settled this below the self-insured retention. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What information did you have on June the 17th, 2016, that Dorel wanted to settle the case below the self-insured retention? A. None. This is referring back to the previous offer made at the mediation. Q. Which was 6.75 million?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	nonresponsive. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) You don't have any personal information that the plaintiffs would have settled for any amount below \$6.75 million, do you? MR. McENTIRE: Objection; form. A. Well, I MR. McENTIRE: Asked and answered. A. I was on the call when Jonathan told us about the 3 million. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) And we've already talked about that. I'm talking about from you understand that do you have any information from the plaintiffs themselves that they would have accepted a dollar amount in settlement below 6.75 million? A. I never liaised directly with the plaintiffs. Q. Do you have any information from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	straightaway. Q. Okay. So Mr. Hill receives the news of the adverse verdict A. Yes. Q and says WTF, right? A. Yes. Q. You respond to Mr. Hill on the first page of Exhibit 33, they could have settled this below the self-insured retention. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What information did you have on June the 17th, 2016, that Dorel wanted to settle the case below the self-insured retention? A. None. This is referring back to the previous offer made at the mediation. Q. Which was 6.75 million? A. Yes. I think that's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	nonresponsive. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) You don't have any personal information that the plaintiffs would have settled for any amount below \$6.75 million, do you? MR. McENTIRE: Objection; form. A. Well, I MR. McENTIRE: Asked and answered. A. I was on the call when Jonathan told us about the 3 million. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) And we've already talked about that. I'm talking about from you understand that do you have any information from the plaintiffs themselves that they would have accepted a dollar amount in settlement below 6.75 million? A. I never liaised directly with the plaintiffs. Q. Do you have any information from the plaintiffs that they would have agreed to settle the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	straightaway. Q. Okay. So Mr. Hill receives the news of the adverse verdict A. Yes. Q and says WTF, right? A. Yes. Q. You respond to Mr. Hill on the first page of Exhibit 33, they could have settled this below the self-insured retention. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What information did you have on June the 17th, 2016, that Dorel wanted to settle the case below the self-insured retention? A. None. This is referring back to the previous offer made at the mediation. Q. Which was 6.75 million? A. Yes. I think that's correct. Q. Okay. And it certainly was Dorel's right not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	nonresponsive. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) You don't have any personal information that the plaintiffs would have settled for any amount below \$6.75 million, do you? MR. McENTIRE: Objection; form. A. Well, I MR. McENTIRE: Asked and answered. A. I was on the call when Jonathan told us about the 3 million. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) And we've already talked about that. I'm talking about from you understand that do you have any information from the plaintiffs themselves that they would have accepted a dollar amount in settlement below 6.75 million? A. I never liaised directly with the plaintiffs. Q. Do you have any information from the plaintiffs that they would have agreed to settle the case without getting a release from Dorel?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	straightaway. Q. Okay. So Mr. Hill receives the news of the adverse verdict A. Yes. Q and says WTF, right? A. Yes. Q. You respond to Mr. Hill on the first page of Exhibit 33, they could have settled this below the self-insured retention. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What information did you have on June the 17th, 2016, that Dorel wanted to settle the case below the self-insured retention? A. None. This is referring back to the previous offer made at the mediation. Q. Which was 6.75 million? A. Yes. I think that's correct. Q. Okay. And it certainly was Dorel's right not to settle a case within its retention, wasn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	nonresponsive. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) You don't have any personal information that the plaintiffs would have settled for any amount below \$6.75 million, do you? MR. McENTIRE: Objection; form. A. Well, I MR. McENTIRE: Asked and answered. A. I was on the call when Jonathan told us about the 3 million. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) And we've already talked about that. I'm talking about from you understand that do you have any information from the plaintiffs themselves that they would have accepted a dollar amount in settlement below 6.75 million? A. I never liaised directly with the plaintiffs. Q. Do you have any information from the plaintiffs that they would have agreed to settle the case without getting a release from Dorel? A. I have never liaised directly with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	straightaway. Q. Okay. So Mr. Hill receives the news of the adverse verdict A. Yes. Q and says WTF, right? A. Yes. Q. You respond to Mr. Hill on the first page of Exhibit 33, they could have settled this below the self-insured retention. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What information did you have on June the 17th, 2016, that Dorel wanted to settle the case below the self-insured retention? A. None. This is referring back to the previous offer made at the mediation. Q. Which was 6.75 million? A. Yes. I think that's correct. Q. Okay. And it certainly was Dorel's right not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	nonresponsive. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) You don't have any personal information that the plaintiffs would have settled for any amount below \$6.75 million, do you? MR. McENTIRE: Objection; form. A. Well, I MR. McENTIRE: Asked and answered. A. I was on the call when Jonathan told us about the 3 million. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) And we've already talked about that. I'm talking about from you understand that do you have any information from the plaintiffs themselves that they would have accepted a dollar amount in settlement below 6.75 million? A. I never liaised directly with the plaintiffs. Q. Do you have any information from the plaintiffs that they would have agreed to settle the case without getting a release from Dorel?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	straightaway. Q. Okay. So Mr. Hill receives the news of the adverse verdict A. Yes. Q and says WTF, right? A. Yes. Q. You respond to Mr. Hill on the first page of Exhibit 33, they could have settled this below the self-insured retention. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What information did you have on June the 17th, 2016, that Dorel wanted to settle the case below the self-insured retention? A. None. This is referring back to the previous offer made at the mediation. Q. Which was 6.75 million? A. Yes. I think that's correct. Q. Okay. And it certainly was Dorel's right not to settle a case within its retention, wasn't it? MR. McENTIRE: Objection; form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	nonresponsive. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) You don't have any personal information that the plaintiffs would have settled for any amount below \$6.75 million, do you? MR. McENTIRE: Objection; form. A. Well, I MR. McENTIRE: Asked and answered. A. I was on the call when Jonathan told us about the 3 million. Q. (By Mr. Kryder) And we've already talked about that. I'm talking about from you understand that do you have any information from the plaintiffs themselves that they would have accepted a dollar amount in settlement below 6.75 million? A. I never liaised directly with the plaintiffs. Q. Do you have any information from the plaintiffs that they would have agreed to settle the case without getting a release from Dorel? A. I have never liaised directly with the plaintiffs.

Michelle Anderson - October 12, 2017

		i			
1	I, MICHELLE ANDERSON, have read the				
2	foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature				
3	that same is true and correct, except as noted above.				
4					
5	· balance				
	MICHELLE ANDERSON				
6					
7	·	·			
8					
9					
	COLD TY				
10	THE STATE OF LONDON)				
	CEOUNTY OF ENGLAND				
12	Before me, CRISTINA LEONE, on this				
13	day personally appeared MICHELLE ANDERSON, known to me				
14	(or proved to me under oath or through				
15	UK Delvin Licelce(description of identity card or				
16	other document) to be the person whose name is				
17	subscribed to the foregoing instrument and				
18	acknowledged to me that they executed the same for the				
19	purposes and consideration therein expressed.				
20	Given under my hand and seal of office this				
21	21 day of December, 2017.				
22	Makan Bukilla I				
23	Notary Public London, England (Cristina)	eone)			
	NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR				
24	THE STATE OF ENGLAND and Wales				
	My commission expires: MY COMMISSION EXPIRES AT DEATH				
25	Leone & Co Notaries				
	54 Fenchurch Street	\$			
	London EC3M 3JY	R			
	Tel. +44 (0)20 3637 2657				
	Dickman Davenport, Inc				
	214.855.5100 www.dickmandavenport.com 800.				

82 (Pages 304 to 306)

Michelle Anderson - October 12, 2017

		304	306
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS NAME: MICHELLE ANDERSON OCTOBER 12, 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON		1 STATE OF TEXAS) 2 COUNTY OF DALLAS) 3 I, Jennifer Quick Davenport, Certified 4 Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of Texas, 5 certify that the foregoing deposition of 6 MICHELLE ANDERSON was reported stenographically by me 7 at the time and place indicated, said witness having 8 been placed under oath by me; that review was 9 requested pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 10 30(e)(1); and that the deposition is a true record of 11 the testimony given by the witness. 12 I further certify that I am neither counsel 13 for nor related to any party in this cause and am not 14 financially interested in its outcome. 15 Given under my hand on this the 18th day of 16 October, 2017. 17 Jennifer Quick Davenport, Certified 18 Shorthand Reporter No. 1683 Dickman Davenport, Inc. 19 Firm Registration #312 Suite 101 20 4228 North Central Expressway Dallas, Texas 75206 21 214.855.5100 800.445.9548 email: jqd@dickmandavenport.com My commission expires 12-31-18 23 Time used by each party: Mr. Sawnie A. McEntire - 0:00 Mr. George M. Kryder - 6:05
1 2 3 4 5 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	I, MICHELLE ANDERSON, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above. MICHELLE ANDERSON THE STATE OF	305	